WAGENINGEN UR For quality of life

Genetic architecture of micro-environmental sensitivity of quantitative traits - application to bovine somatic cell score

Background

- Micro-environmental sensitivity is differential sensitivity to unknown environmental factors
- Observed as differences in micro-environmental variance/environmental variance/residual variance within an animal.

Objectives

- To identify genomic regions affecting micro-environmental sensitivity and the mean of bovine somatic cell score (SCS)
- To quantify the predictive ability of genome-wide estimated breeding values (GW-EBV) for micro-environmental sensitivity and mean of bovine somatic cell score

Material and Methods

Data

Results

Cumulative proportion genetic variance explained

ę

100%

80% 60%

40%

20%

0%

0.00

- 1563 first-parity cows >5 SCS records at 5 research farms.
- Genotyped with Illumina BovineSNP50 BeadChip

GWAS/GW-EBV analyses

- Univariate Bayesian SSVS method (Calus et al., 2008)
- Posterior genetic variance per 50-SNP window

0.20

- Ten-fold cross-validation to assess accuracy GW-EBV
- Number of independent chromosome segments (Daetwyler et al., 2008)

Genetic analysis

- Double hierarchical generalized linear model model in ASREML (Rönnegård et al., 2010)
- Genomic (G) or numerator (A) relationship matrix

Phenotypes used for GWAS/GW-EBV

- MeanSCS: raw mean SCS per cow
- VareG: Residual variance per cow using G-matrix
- Micro-• VareA: Residual variance per cow using A-matrix environmental
- VarP: Raw phenotypic variance of SCS per cow

sensitivity

Accuracy (r) of GW-EBV and pedigree EBV and number of independent chomosome segments

Trait	r GW-EBV	r EBV	Number of chromosome segments
meanSCS	0.444	0.402	1688
VareG	0.447	0.503	1959
VareA	0.445	0.504	1975
VarP	0.418	0.480	2447

GWAS

- 5 SNP with very strong or decisive Bayes Factors for meanSCS
- No evidence for SNP associated with micro-environmental sensitivity of SCS

Conclusions

• Inheritance of micro-environmental sensitivity of SCS follows approximately infinitesimal model.

--VareG

--VarP

0.80

1.00

Accuracy of (GW)-EBV are similar for micro-environmental sensitivity of SCS and mean SCS.

—MeanSCS

----VareA

Note: VareG and VareA coincide

0.60

References

Calus, M. P. L., T. H. E. Meuwissen, A. P. W. De Roos, and R. F. Veerkamp. 2008. Accuracy of genomic selection using different methods to define haplotypes. Genetics 178:553-561.

0.40

Proportion of 50-SNP windows

Daetwyler, H. D., B. Villanueva, and J. A. Woolliams. 2008. Accuracy of predicting the genetic risk of disease using a genome-wide approach. PLoS ONE 3(10):e3395.

Rönnegård, L., M. Felleki, F. Fikse, H. A. Mulder, and E. Strandberg. 2010. Genetic heterogeneity of residual variance: estimation of variance components using double hierarchical generalized linear models. Genet. Sel. Evol. 42:8. VanRaden, P. M. 2008. Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. J. Dairy Sci. 91:4414-4423.



1. Animal Breeding and Genomics Centre, Wageningen University P.O. Box 338, 6700 AH Wageningen, The Netherlands Contact: Han.Mulder@wur.nl T + 31 (0)317 484622 www.abg.wur.nl/UK/

Acknowledgements

This project was financed by the RobustMilk project, which is financially supported by the European Commission under the Seventh Research Framework Programme, Grant Agreement KBBE-211708. The content of this paper is the sole responsibility of the authors, and it does not necessarily represent the views of the Commission or its services.

2. Animal Breeding and Genomics Centre, Wageningen UR Livestock Research P.O. Box 65, 8200 AB Lelystad The Netherlands www.livestockresearch.wur.nl

